A systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate blood levels of interleukin-6 in lung cancer patients



Seyed Vahid Jasemi¹, Soha Zia², Seyed Ghasem Mirbahari³, Masoud Sadeghi⁴

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran ²Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ³Jam Private Medical Laboratory, Kermanshah, Iran

⁴Medical Biology Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

Kardiochirugia i Torakochirurgia Polska 2023; 20 (4): 240-250

Abstract

Introduction: The exact mechanism responsible for inflammation in malignancy is not completely understood, but it is possible that interleukin-6 (IL-6) plays a major role in triggering and maintaining an inflammatory response.

Aim: To conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of the levels of IL-6 in the serum/plasma of lung cancer (LC) patients. **Material and methods:** The researchers searched four databases up to September 11, 2022, to find studies that reported on IL-6 levels in LC patients compared to healthy controls (HCs). They calculated effect sizes using standardized mean difference (SMD) with a 95% confidence interval (CI). To evaluate the quality of each study, they used the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS). They performed subgroup analysis, sensitivity analysis, meta-regression analysis, heterogeneity analyses, trial sequential analysis, and publication bias with the trim-and-fill method.

Results: The meta-analysis included 28 studies, and the results showed that the pooled SMD was 1.71 (95% CI: 1.22, 2.19; p < 0.00001; $l^2 = 98\%$), indicating that LC patients had significantly higher levels of IL-6 in their serum/plasma than HCs.

Conclusions: The study found that the publication year and quality score of the studies were positively associated with the level of IL-6, while the sample size was inversely related. The research suggests that measuring IL-6 levels in the blood could be useful for detecting and monitoring LC as it appears to be a reliable biomarker.

Key words: lung neoplasm, serum, plasma, interleukin-6, meta-analysis.

Introduction

Lung neoplasms are a leading cause of cancer and death worldwide [1]. Lung cancer (LC) has resulted in approximately two million diagnoses and 1.8 million deaths [2], with the age-standardized cumulative lifetime risk of LC diagnosis being 3.8% for males and 1.77% for females [2]. The incidence of LC is increasing globally due to rising industrialization and access to tobacco [3].

In the United States, African American men and Caucasian American women have the highest incidence of LC [4]. A meta-analysis revealed that a positive family history increases LC risk by 1.7 times, and if the history is among first-degree relatives, it can increase the risk by up to 2–4 times even after controlling for smoking history [5].

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have confirmed variants in several chromosomal regions related to an elevated heritable LC risk [6–9]. Smoking accounts for over 80% of LC patients in the Western world [2], but exposure to asbestos [10], radon gas [11], air pollution [12], arsenic [13], infections [14, 15], and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [16] could also be other risk factors for LC.

Chronic inflammation's durability is a fundamental characteristic of malignant tumors, and inflammation is present in all stages of tumorigenesis [17]. However, the mechanism behind inflammation stimulation in cancer remains largely unknown. Cytokines are small secreted proteins that regulate the immune response by affecting nearly every cell [18] and have a correlation with cancer development [19–22]. Interleukin-6 (IL-6) is one of the most important cytokines, playing a key role in autoimmune diseases, bacterial infections, and metabolic side effects, possessing both pro- and anti-inflammatory properties [23]. IL-6 has been identified as a biomarker due to its primary role in activating and maintaining the inflammatory response [24, 25] and promoting cancer development [20, 26].

Various articles have reported on the serum/plasma levels of IL-6 in LC patients compared to healthy controls (HCs), yielding different results [27–31]. To our knowledge,

Address for correspondence: Dr Seyed Ghasem Mirbahari, Jam Private Medical Laboratory, Kermanshah, Iran, e-mail: sgmirbahari@gmail.com Received: 13.07.2023, accepted: 1.12.2023.

there have not been any meta-analyses published on this subject in English literature.

Aim

The objective of this study is to conduct a meta-analysis evaluating the serum/plasma levels of IL-6 in LC patients, aiming to provide a more comprehensive and quantitative synthesis of this important biomarker and its potential role in LC diagnosis and treatment.

Material and methods

Study design

The systematic review and meta-analysis were reported using the PRISMA statement [32]. The PECO (Population, Exposure, Comparator, and Outcome) question [33, 34] was formulated as follows: Were blood IL-6 levels different between LC patients and HCs? (human cases with and without LC as the population; LC as the exposure; LC cases compared to HCs as the comparator; and changes in plasma/ serum IL-6 levels as the outcome).

Study selection and search strategy

PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library databases were searched to retrieve relevant studies that compared serum/plasma IL-6 levels in LC patients with HCs from each database's inception until September 11, 2022, without any restrictions. The search strategy used the keywords "IL-6", "IL6", or "interleukin-6", and "lung cancer*", "lung carcinoma*", "lung neoplasm*", "non-small cell lung carcinoma", "NSCLC", "small cell lung cancer", "SCLC", or "lung adenocarcinoma", and "blood", "plasma", or "serum". The references/citations of reviews or original articles were checked to ensure that no studies dealing with the subject were missed. Two reviewers conducted an independent check of the retrieved articles (M.S. and S.V.J.), and any disagreement was resolved by a third reviewer (S.Z.).

Eligibility criteria

The inclusion criteria for this study were as follows: 1) case-control studies comparing LC patients to HCs, 2) studies reporting plasma/serum IL-6 levels in both LC patients and HCs, 3) pathological diagnosis of LC, 4) LC patients were over 18 years old and had no other systemic diseases, 5) HCs were over 18 years old and had no LC or systemic disease, and 6) samples of serum/plasma were obtained between 8 am and 10 am. Exclusion criteria included 1) letters to the editor, reviews, book chapters, and conference papers, 2) studies with incomplete data, 3) studies including LC patients under treatment during sampling, 4) measurement of IL-6 levels in samples other than serum/plasma, and 5) studies reporting polymorphisms of mRNA level of IL-6.

Extracted data

Two reviewers (M.S. and S.G.M.) independently extracted the data for the articles included in the meta-analysis, and any disagreement was resolved through discussion.

Quality score

The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) [35] was used to assess the quality of each study, with a score of \geq 7 out of 9 indicating high quality. One reviewer (M.S.) performed the quality assessment for all studies included in the analysis.

Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis, the Review Manager 5.3 software was used to extract effect sizes, including standardized mean difference (SMD), with a 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistical significance was deemed to be present if the two-sided *p*-value was less than 0.05.

If the $P_{heterogeneity}$ was less than 0.10 (with l^2 more than 50%), significant heterogeneity was present, and the analysis was conducted using a random-effects model [36]. If not, a fixed-effect model was utilized [37]. To explore the heterogeneity of effect estimates in the meta-analysis, a Galbraith (or Radial) plot [38] and L'Abbé plot [39, 40] were employed, with their results and interpretation obtained from NCSS 2021 version 21.0.2 software.

Subgroup analysis, fixed-effect meta-regression analysis (univariate analysis), and sensitivity analyses were also carried out. Bias analyses were performed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis version 2.0 software, with Egger's regression test [41] and Begg's test [42] being used to determine the significant publication bias (*p*-value (2-sided) of less than 0.10).

To address false-negative or -positive results from meta-analyses [43], trial sequential analysis (TSA) was performed using TSA (version 0.9.5.10 beta) software [44]. The required information size (RIS) was computed with an a risk of 5% and beta risk of 20% for blood IL-6 levels.

The mean difference (MD) was based on empirical assumptions, and if the *Z*-curve crossed the lines or entered the futility zone, sufficient cases were located in the studies, and the conclusion was reliable. One reviewer (M.S.) performed the analyses, and the other reviewers (S.V.J., S.Z., and S.G.M.) re-checked them.

Results

Study selection

Out of the 2682 records obtained from various databases, only 103 full-text articles qualified based on the eligibility criteria. Upon further examination of the full texts, 78 articles were eliminated for various reasons (as detailed in Figure 1). The remaining 27 articles were chosen for the systematic review, with one article [45] featuring two independent studies. As a result, a total of 28 studies were analyzed in the meta-analysis.

Characteristics

Twenty-eight studies [27–31, 45–66] were published from 1996 to 2022 (Table I). Among all studies, eleven studies were reported in Caucasians, fourteen in Asians, and three in mixed ethnicities. The studies included 2571 cases with LC patients and 2061 HCs. Twenty-four studies report-



Figure 1. Flowchart of the study selection interleukin-6

*15 studies reported polymorphisms of IL-6. 1 study reported IL-6 mRNA level. 44 studies didn't include control group or participants were under treatment. 1 study included individuals with several cancers as case group. 1 study measured sputum IL-6. 1 study reported the levels of IL-6 in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. 1 study reported the levels of IL-6 in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. 1 study reported the levels of IL-6 in breath condensate. 5 studies didn't include required data for case and/or control groups. 1 study had duplicate data. 2 studies had no full text. 1 study reported fluorescence intensity of IL-6. 1 study reported production of IL-6 by peripheral blood monocytes. 1 study reported geometric means of IL-6. 1 study had irrelevant data.

ed the levels of IL-6 in serum and four in plasma samples. Stage of LC patients, matched factors between LC cases and HCs, and quality score were other retrieved characteristics of the studies.

Pooled analysis

The pooled SMD was 1.71 (95% CI: 1.22, 2.19; p < 0.00001; $l^2 = 98\%$) comparing the blood IL-6 levels in LC patients to HCs (Figure 2). Therefore, the result showed that the blood IL-6 level in LC patients was significantly higher than in HCs.

Subgroup analysis

The subgroup analysis based on sample size, ethnicity, quality score, matched factors, and blood sample is shown in Table II. The results showed that just the quality score could be a confounding factor for the pooled analysis.

Meta-regression

A meta-regression analysis revealed that publication year, sample size, and quality score were effective factors for the pooled analysis (Table III). With increasing publication year and quality score and decreasing sample size, pooled SMD of blood IL-6 level in LC patients compared to HCs decreased.

Trial sequential analysis

To report sufficient data for the comparison of blood IL-6 levels in LC patients to HCs, the TSA result was present-

ed (Figure 3). The *Z*-curve crossed the RIS line and therefore the result confirmed that there were sufficient cases for reporting this comparison.

Heterogeneity plots

The radial plot (Figure 4 A) and L'Abbe plot (Figure 4 B) showed that there was possible heterogeneity in the pooled analysis and this heterogeneity may be due to the outliers. The radial plot places studies with the highest weight closest to the Y axis, while studies that fall outside the limits are perceived as heterogeneous and may be considered outliers. On the other hand, for the L'Abbe plot, studies showing heterogeneity are positioned far away from the diagonal line. The study displaying the most heterogeneity is located further away from the limits or the diagonal line.

Sensitivity analyses

The sensitivity analyses including one-study-removed (Figure 5 A) and cumulative (Figure 5 B) analyses showed the stability of the pooled result.

Publication bias

The funnel plot including the missing studies imputed by the trim-and-fill method is shown in Figure 6. The Begg's and Egger's tests reported a high publication bias for the comparison of the blood IL-6 levels between LC patients and HCs (*p*-values: 0.003 and < 0.001, respectively.). Eleven missing studies are filled in the plot. The pooled

First author, publication year	Country/area	Ethnicity	Number of cases	Number of controls	Stage	Blood sample	Matched factors (age and/or sex)	Quality score
Brichory, 2001 [46]	USA	Mixed	40	39	I–III	Serum	NR	6
Brussino, 2014 [47]	Italy	Caucasian	15	30	I–II	Serum	Age and sex	9
Chen, 2004 [27]	China	Asian	86	45	I–IV	Serum	NR	7
Chen, 2020 [48]	China	Asian	42	62	NR	Serum	Age and sex	8
De Vita, 1998 [49]	Italy	Caucasian	60	40	Ш	Serum	Age and sex	9
Deniz, 2018 [50]	Turkey	Caucasian	20	20	Ш	Plasma	NR	7
Duan, 2015 [28]	China	Asian	19	19	I–III	Serum	Age and sex	9
Gaur, 2019 [51]	India	Asian	51	51	I–IV	Serum	Age and sex	9
Guadagni, 2004 [52]	Italy	Caucasian	65	65	I–IV	Plasma	Age and sex	9
Hoheisel, 1998 [53]	Germany	Caucasian	10	10	NR	Serum	NR	6
Huang, 2005 [54]	China	Caucasian	62	25	I–IV	Serum	NR	7
Katsumata, 1996 [55]	Japan	Asian	183	50	I–IV	Serum	NR	7
Kayacan, 2006 [56]	Turkey	Caucasian	44	12	I–IV	Serum	Age	8
Liu, 2018 [58]	China	Asian	92	40	III–IV	Serum	NR	7
Liu, 2022 [57]	China	Asian	217	200	I–III	Serum	Age and sex	9
Ma, 2022 [29]	China	Asian	100	50	III–IV	Plasma	Age and sex	9
Martín, 1999 [59]	Spain	Caucasian	58	20	I–IV	Serum	NR	7
Pan, 2016 [60]	China	Asian	142	65	I–IV	Serum	Age and sex	9
Pine, 2011 [45]	Europe	Caucasian	270	296	I–IV	Serum	Age and sex	8
	USA	Mixed	532	595	I–IV	Serum	Age and sex	8
Shang, 2017 [61]	China	Asian	65	30	I–IV	Serum	NR	7
Silva, 2017 [62]	Brazil	Mixed	77	91	I–IV	Plasma	Sex	8
Song, 2013 [63]	China	Asian	48	40	I–IV	Serum	Age and sex	9
Tas, 2005 [64]	Turkey	Caucasian	28	15	III–IV	Serum	NR	7
Vuković, 2021 [30]	Serbia	Caucasian	41	30	III–IV	Serum	NR	7
Wang, 2020 [31]	China	Asian	16	18	I–IV	Serum	Age and sex	9
Yan, 2022 [65]	China	Asian	133	72	I–IV	Serum	Age and sex	9
Zhang, 2019 [66]	China	Asian	55	31	NR	Serum	NR	6

Table I. Characteristics of the studies

NR - not reported.

SMD with pseudo 95% CI was 0.687 (0.621, 0.752) for a fixed-effects model, which, after being adjusted using the trim-fill method, became 0.360 (0.299, 0.422). In addition, the pooled SMD with pseudo 95% CI was 1.725 (1.237, 2.214) for a random-effects model, which, after being adjusted using the trim-fill method, became 0.392 (-0.142, 0.928). The forest plot presented an overall effect size on the plasma/serum levels of IL-6 that seemed to be invalid, as there was a significant publication bias effect based on both fixed-effects and random-effects models. This was due to a considerable difference between the observed and adjusted estimates, although the random-effects model appeared to have a greater effect.

Discussion

According to the main findings of the meta-analysis, the serum/plasma IL-6 level was significantly higher in LC patients as compared to HCs. The analysis revealed that the year of publication and quality score had a positive correlation while sample size had a negative correlation with the pooled SMD for comparison of serum/plasma IL-6 levels. Subgroup analysis indicated that the quality score could be a confounding factor for the pooled analysis.

Out of all the articles included in the meta-analysis [27–31, 45–66], only one study [27] reported a significantly lower level of IL-6, while 20 studies [28, 29, 39, 45–52, 54, 55, 58–62, 65, 66] found a higher level of IL-6 in LC cases than in HCs. The remaining studies [30, 31, 53, 56, 57, 64] did not find any significant difference between the two groups. Some studies [57, 58, 67] showed that serum IL-6 was linked to anxiety and depression in LC cases. Martin *et al.* [59] concluded that serum IL-6 levels were higher in LC patients who experienced weight loss of more than 10% as compared to those who had less than 10% weight loss. The varying results observed in the original articles can be attributed to differences in sample sizes, quality scores, and even different methods used by the studies. As per the meta-analysis, it is recommended to account for

Study or subgroup	Mean	Case SD	Total	Mean	Control SD	Total	Weight (%)	Std. mean difference IV, random, 95% CI	Std. mea IV, rand	in difference om, 95% Cl	e
Brichory, 2001	60.53	39.65	40	23.14	11.74	39	3.6%	1.26 [0.77, 1.74]		1	
Brussino, 2014	1.21	0.99	15	0.15	0.06	30	3.5%	1.84 [1.10, 2.57]			
Chen, 2004	13	4	86	23	5	45	3.7%	-2.28 [-2.73, -1.82]			
Chen, 2020	31.98	16.29	42	5.1	2.79	62	3.6%	2.53 [2.00, 3.05]			
De Vita, 1998	10.19	2.4	60	5.86	2.09	40	3.6%	1.88 [1.40, 2.36]			
Deniz, 2018	18.39	8.61	20	10.77	10.48	20	3.5%	0.78 [0.13, 1.42]			
Duan, 2015	123.2	17.8	19	42.61	6.28	19	2.7%	5.91 [4.37, 7.45]		-	
Gaur, 2019	44.13	27.56	51	9.97	3.5	51	3.7%	1.73 [1.27, 2.18]			
Guadagni, 2004	11.36	13.25	65	1.93	2.44	65	3.7%	0.98 [0.62, 1.35]			
Hoheisel, 1998	10.2	4.1	10	7.3	3.2	10	3.3%	0.76 [–0.16, 1.67]		<u></u>	
Huang, 2005	12.36	5.84	62	3.1	2.21	25	3.6%	1.81 [1.27, 2.34]			
Katsumata, 1996	10.5	2.7	183	9.6	2.6	50	3.7%	0.33 [0.02, 0.65]		h	
Kayacan, 2006	7.6	11.6	44	4.1	3.5	12	3.5%	0.33 [-0.31, 0.97]		+	
Liu, 2018	50.91	2.97	92	39.97	3.03	40	3.6%	3 .64 [3.06, 4.22]			
Liu, 2022	17.5	6.22	217	16.63	9.7	200	3.8%	0.11 [-0.08, 0.30]		÷	
Ma, 2022	65.23	12.25	100	1.04	0.86	50	3.4%	6.37 [5.56, 7.17]			
Martin, 1999	104.2	139	58	19.1	31	20	3.6%	0.69 [0.17, 1.21]			
Pan, 2016	244.51	24.7	142	74.08	12.68	65	3.4%	7.83 [7.02, 8.65]			
Pine, 2011 (i)	4.4	3.62	270	2.43	1.78	296	3.8%	0.70 [0.53, 0.87]		~	
Pine, 2011 (ii)	4.83	3.18	532	4.43	2.89	595	3.8%	0.13 [0.01, 0.25]		-	
Shang, 2017	234.6	43.8	65	54.7	12.5	30	3.4%	4.82 [4.00, 5.65]			_
Silva, 2017	25.03	36.4	77	2.21	1.3	91	3.7%	0.92 [0.60, 1.24]		-	
Song, 2013	28.9	7.5	48	4.6	2.1	40	3.5%	4.21 [3.45, 4.97]			
Tas, 2005	20.3	11.9	28	20.1	1.42	15	3.6%	0.02 [-0.61, 0.65]		÷	
Vukovic, 2021	45	50	41	39	38	30	3.7%	0.13 [-0.34, 0.60]		+-	
Wang, 2020	7.21	1.36	16	7.42	1.74	18	3.5%	-0.13 [-0.80, 0.54]	-	+	
Yan, 2022	7.69	9.97	133	0.76	0.68	72	3.7%	0.86 [0.56, 1.16]		-	
Zhang, 2019	521.17	153.45	55	297.19	76.75	31	3.6%	1.69 [1.18, 2.20]			
Total (95% CI)			2571			2061	100.0%	1.71 [1.22, 2.19]		•	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 1$ Test for overall effect	l.61; χ ² = t: <i>Z</i> = 6.9	= 1255.51 92 (p < 0	, <i>df</i> = 2 .00001)	7 (p < 0.0)0001); <i> </i>	² = 98%	0	-10	-5 Favours [case]	0 5 Favours	

Figure 2. Random-effects forest plot analysis. Comparison of blood interleukin-6 levels in lung cancer patients compared to healthy controls

Variable	Subgroup, N	SMD	95% CI	P-value	Z-value	l ²	Pheterogeneity
Overall		1.71	1.22, 2.19	< 0.00001	6.92	95%	< 0.00001
Ethnicity:	Caucasian (11)	0.90	0.55, 1.24	< 0.00001	5.11	83%	< 0.00001
	Asian (14)	2.64	1.53, 3.74	< 0.00001	4.68	99%	< 0.00001
	Mixed (3)	0.74	0.03, 1.46	0.04	2.03	95%	< 0.00001
Sample size:	≥ 100 (14)	1.77	1.10, 2.44	< 0.00001	5.20	99%	< 0.00001
	< 100 (14)	1.65	0.91, 2.38	< 0.0001	4.40	95%	< 0.00001
Quality score:	≥ 7 (25)	0.65	0.58, 0.72	< 0.00001	19.04	98%	< 0.00001
	< 7 (3)	1.37	1.04, 1.70	< 0.00001	8.20	42%	0.18
Matched factors:	Age and sex (14)	2.37	1.68, 3.06	< 0.00001	6.75	98%	< 0.00001
	Age, sex, or NR (14)	1.05	0.29, 1.81	0.007	2.72	97%	< 0.00001
Blood sample:	Serum (24)	1.62	1.11, 2.13	< 0.00001	6.21	98%	< 0.00001
	Plasma (4)	2.23	0.5, 3.69	0.01	2.52	98%	< 0.00001

Table II. Subgroup analysis

NR - not reported, N - Number of studies.

environmental factors such as smoking, psychological conditions, and nutritional status; however, this meta-analysis could not consider these factors due to insufficient data.

IL-6 is an inflammatory biomarker that plays a critical role in immune responses and inflammation [68]. Chronic inflammation and cytokine storm are uncontrolled forms of inflammation [68], and IL-6 is produced by senescent cells and is involved in aging-induced inflammation and age-related pathologies and cancer [69]. Several recent me-

ta-analyses have reported higher levels of serum/plasma IL-6 in patients with various diseases, including obstructive sleep apnea syndrome [70], kidney disease [71], hepatocellular carcinoma [72], COVID-19 [73, 74], and colorectal cancer [75], compared to controls.

Inflammation has been linked to cancer development and progression and can advance all stages of tumorigenesis [76]. Chronic inflammation, infection, or autoimmunity in the same tissue or organ site causes about 15% to 20%

Table III. Meta-regression analysis

Variable	Point estimate	Standard error	95% CI	Z-value	<i>P</i> -value
Publication year	0.02310	0.00470	0.01388, 0.03233	4.91082	< 0.00001
Sample size	-0.00234	0.00017	-0.00268, -0.00201	-3.68989	< 0.00001
Quality score	0.12604	0.04352	0.04073, 0.21134	2.89589	0.00378



Figure 3. Trial sequential analysis. Comparison of blood interleukin-6 levels in lung cancer patients compared to healthy controls. (heterogeneity or $D^2 = 100\%$)

of all cancers [77, 78]. The inflammation that leads to cancer is triggered and present well in advance of the actual tumor formation in such instances [79].

The development of LC is associated with various factors that cause inflammation in the lungs, such as smok-





Figure 4. Heterogeneity plots. **A** – Radial plot



Figure 4. Cont. B – L'Abbe plot. Numbers show studies

tient characteristics, such as LC stage and subtype, since the numbers of patients differ in terms of these factors in the studies. One study stated that serum levels of IL-6 differ significantly between LC subtypes, with large cell carcinoma showing higher levels than adenocarcinoma. Another study [49] found that serum levels of IL-6 were significantly higher in LC cases with stage IV than in stage III, and there was also a significant difference between stage III/IV and stage I/II [51]. Additionally, Shang et al. [61] observed that the serum level of IL-6 in LC patients with lymph node metastasis was significantly higher than in patients without metastasis.

A Study name	S	tatistics	with study	remove	d				Std diff.	in mean	s (95% Cl)	
	Standard		Lower Upper		-			with	study re	moved		
	Point	error	Variance	limit	limit	Z-value	P-value					
Brichory, 2001	1.74	0.26	0.07	1.24	2.25	6.80	0.00			1		
Brussino, 2014	1.72	0.25	0.06	1.22	2.22	6.77	0.00					
Chen, 2004	187	0.24	0.06	1.40	2.34	7.78	0.00					
Chen, 2020	1.69	0.25	0.06	1.20	2.19	6.73	0.00					
De Vita, 1998	1.72	0.25	0.06	1.22	2.22	6.75	0.00					
Deniz, 2018	1.76	0.26	0.07	1.26	2.26	6.89	0.00					
Duan, 2015	1.60	0.25	0.06	1.11	2.09	6.44	0.00					
Gaur, 2019	1.73	0.26	0.07	1.23	2.23	6.76	0.00					
Guadagni, 2004	1.76	0.26	0.07	1.25	2.26	6.78	0.00				_	
Hoheisel, 1998	1.76	0.25	0.06	1.26	2.26	6.91	0.00				_	
Huang, 2005	1.72	0.25	0.06	1.22	2.22	6.76	0.00				-	
Katsumata, 1996	1.78	0.26	0.07	1.27	2.29	6.84	0.00					
Kayacan, 2006	1.78	0.26	0.07	1.28	2.28	6.96	0.00					
Liu, 2018	1.65	0.25	0.06	1.17	2.13	6.71	0.00					
Liu, 2022	1.80	0.27	0.07	1.27	2.32	6.68	0.00					
Ma, 2022	1.55	0.24	0.06	1.09	2.01	6.59	0.00					
Martin, 1999	1.77	0.26	0.07	1.26	2.27	6.88	0.00					
Pan, 2016	1.49	0.22	0.05	1.05	1.93	6.67	0.00					
Pine, 2011 (i)	1.78	0.28	0.08	1.23	2.32	6.39	0.00					
Pine, 2011 (ii)	1.80	0.28	0.08	1.25	2.35	6.39	0.00					
Shang, 2017	1.61	0.24	0.06	1.13	2.09	6.58	0.00					
Silva, 2017	1.76	0.26	0.07	1.25	2.27	6.75	0.00					
Song, 2013	1.63	0.25	0.06	1.15	2.11	6.62	0.00					
Tas, 2005	1.79	0.26	0.07	1.29	2.29	7.01	0.00					
Vukovic, 2021	1.79	0.26	0.07	1.28	2.29	6.96	0.00					
Wang, 2020	1.79	0.25	0.06	1.29	2.29	7.04	0.00					
Yan, 2022	1.76	0.26	0.07	1.25	2.28	6.73	0.00					
Zhang, 2019	1.73	0.26	0.07	1.23	2.23	6.77	0.00					
0,	1.73	0.25	0.06	1.24	2.21	6.92	0.00				-	
								-4.00	-2.0	0	2.00	4.00
ure 5. Sensitivity and	alvses: A	– one-st	udv-remov	ed analy	/sis				Case		Control	

Figure 5. Sensitivity analyses: A – one-study-removed analysis

Control

Case

B Study name		Cum	ulative stat	istics				Cumulative std diff. in means (95% Cl)
-		Standa	rd	Lower	Upper	-			
	Point	error	Variance	limit	limit	Z-value	P-value		
Brichory, 2001	1.27	0.25	0.06	0.79	1.76	5.15	0.00		T
Brussino, 2014	1.51	0.29	0.09	0.93	2.08	5.16	0.00		
Chen, 2004	0.28	1.37	1.87	-2.40	2.95	0.20	0.84		
Chen, 2020	0.84	1.18	1.39	-1.46	3.15	0.72	0.47		
De Vita, 1998	1.05	0.94	0.88	-0.79	2.89	1.12	0.26		
Deniz, 2018	1.01	0.79	0.63	-0.54	2.56	1.28	0.20		
Duan, 2015	1.66	0.79	0.62	0.12	3.21	2.11	0.03		
Gaur, 2019	1.66	0.67	0.45	0.35	2.98	2.48	0.01		
Guadagni, 2004	1.57	0.56	0.31	0.48	2.66	2.82	0.00		
Hoheisel, 1998	1.49	0.52	0.27	0.48	2.50	2.89	0.00		
Huang, 2005	1.52	0.47	0.22	0.59	2.44	3.22	0.00		
Katsumata, 1996	1.40	0.41	0.17	0.59	2.22	3.39	0.00		
Kayacan, 2006	1.32	0.39	0.15	0.56	2.08	3.39	0.00		
Liu, 2018	1.50	0.40	0.16	0.71	2.28	3.71	0.00		
Liu, 2022	1.39	0.35	0.13	0.69	2.08	3.92	0.00		
Ma, 2022	1.71	0.40	0.16	0.93	2.49	4.30	0.00		
Martin, 1999	1.65	0.38	0.14	0.91	2.38	4.39	0.00		
Pan, 2016	2.00	0.43	0.18	1.16	2.84	4.67	0.00		
Pine, 2011 (i)	1.91	0.36	0.13	1.21	2.62	5.33	0.00		
Pine, 2011 (ii)	1.80	0.30	0.09	1.21	2.40	5.96	0.00		
Shang, 2017	1.95	0.31	0.09	1.35	2.55	6.35	0.00		
Silva, 2017	1.90	0.29	0.08	1.33	2.47	6.53	0.00		
Song, 2013	2.00	0.29	0.09	1.43	2.57	6.84	0.00		
Tas, 2005	1.92	0.28	0.08	1.36	2.47	6.74	0.00		
Vukovic, 2021	1.84	0.27	0.08	1.30	2.38	6.70	0.00		
Wang, 2020	1.77	0.27	0.07	1.24	2.29	6.58	0.00		
Yan, 2022	1.73	0.26	0.07	1.23	2.23	6.77	0.00		
Zhang, 2019	1.73	0.25	0.06	1.24	2.21	6.92	0.00		
0,	1.73	0.25	0.06	1.24	2.21	6.92	0.00		
							-	-4.00 -2.0 0 2.00	1.00

Figure 5. Cont. B – cumulative analysis



The results of trim-and-fill method											
Value	Studies trimmed	Fixed-effects				Q value					
		Point estimate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Point estimate	Lower limit	Upper limit	_			
Observed		0.68662	0.62114	0.75209	1.72559	1.23706	2.21412	1288.16560			
Adjusted	11	0.36046	0.29859	0.42233	0.39288	-0.14224	0.92800	2516.71935			

Figure 6. Funnel plot and trim-and-fill method. The open dots show the observed studies, and the closed dots show the missing studies imputed by the trim-and-fill method. The dashed lines that create a triangular area indicate the 95% confidence intervals, and the vertical solid line illustrates the overall effect size

The present meta-analysis contributes to our understanding of the role of IL-6 in LC development and progression, indicating that high serum/plasma levels of IL-6 could be a potential biomarker for this disease. From a clinical perspective, this may have significant implications in early detection and diagnosis of LC, especially for high-risk groups such as smokers or those with occupational exposure to toxins. Additionally, identifying IL-6 as a possible mediator of inflammation-induced carcinogenesis may lead to new therapeutic targets for the prevention and treatment of LC.

However, it is essential to note that the meta-analysis has several limitations, including high heterogeneity across studies, publication bias, and insufficient data regarding the impact of psychological and environmental factors on IL-6 levels. Moreover, variability in the methods used for measuring IL-6 levels across studies could potentially affect the results. Additionally, there could be other unmeasured confounding factors, such as other inflammatory markers or comorbidities, which may influence the association between IL-6 and LC.

Conclusions

According to the results of this meta-analysis, patients with lung cancer (LC) have notably higher levels of serum/ plasma IL-6 in comparison to HCs. This discovery has significant clinical implications as it suggests that IL-6 may be a useful biomarker for the detection and monitoring of LC. Moreover, targeting the IL-6 pathway could be a promising strategy for managing inflammation in patients with lung cancer.

However, additional research is necessary to comprehensively comprehend the role of IL-6 in LC and its potential as a biomarker or therapeutic target. Future investigations could explore the correlation between IL-6 levels and LC prognosis, as well as the effectiveness of IL-6-targeted therapies in the treatment of LC. Overall, this study offers important insights into the possible involvement of IL-6 in LC and emphasizes the need for further research in various areas.

Disclosure

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Fitzmaurice C, Abate D, Abbasi N, Abbastabar H, Abd-Allah F, Abdel-Rahman O, et al. Global, regional, and national cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disability-adjusted life-years for 29 cancer groups, 1990 to 2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. JAMA Oncol 2019; 5: 1749-1768.
- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68: 394-424.
- 3. Barta JA, Powell CA, Wisnivesky JP. Global epidemiology of lung cancer. Ann Global Health 2019; 85: 8.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Goding Sauer A, Fedewa SA, Butterly LF, Anderson JC, et al. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin 2020; 70: 145-164.
- 5. Kanwal M, Ding X, Cao Y. Familial risk for lung cancer. Oncol Lett 2017; 13: 535-42.
- 6. Landi MT, Chatterjee N, Yu K, Goldin LR, Goldstein AM, Rotunno M, et al. A genome-wide association study of lung cancer identifies a region of chro-

mosome 5p15 associated with risk for adenocarcinoma. Am J Human Genet 2009; 85: 679-691.

- Byun J, Schwartz AG, Lusk C, Wenzlaff AS, De Andrade M, Mandal D, Gaba C, Yang P, You M, Kupert EY, Anderson MW, Han Y, Li Y, Qian D, Stilp A, Laurie C, Nelson S, Zheng W, Hung RJ, Gaborieau V, Mckay J, Brennan P, Caporaso NE, Landi MT, Wu X, McLaughlin JR, Brhane Y, Bossé Y, Pinney SM, Bailey-Wilson JE, Amos CI. Genome-wide association study of familial lung cancer. Carcinogenesis 2018; 39: 1135-1140.
- 8. Hu Z, Wu C, Shi Y, Guo H, Zhao X, Yin Z, Yang L, Dai J, Hu L, Tan W, Li Z, Deng Q, Wang J, Wu W, Jin G, Jiang Y, Yu D, Zhou G, Chen H, Guan P, Chen Y, Shu Y, Xu L, Liu X, Liu L, Xu P, Han B, Bai C, Zhao Y, Zhang H, Yan Y, Ma H, Chen J, Chu M, Lu F, Zhang Z, Chen F, Wang X, Jin L, Lu J, Zhou B, Lu D, Wu T, Lin D, Shen H. A genome-wide association study identifies two new lung cancer susceptibility loci at 13q12. 12 and 22q12. 2 in Han Chinese. Nat Genet 2011; 43: 792-796.
- 9. Broderick P, Wang Y, Vijayakrishnan J, Matakidou A, Spitz MR, Eisen T, et al. Deciphering the impact of common genetic variation on lung cancer risk: a genome-wide association study. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 6633-6641.
- Markowitz SB, Levin SM, Miller A, Morabia A. Asbestos, asbestosis, smoking, and lung cancer. New findings from the North American insulator cohort. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013; 188: 90-96.
- Krewski D, Lubin JH, Zielinski JM, Alavanja M, Catalan VS, William Field R, Klotz JB, Létourneau EG, Lynch CF, Lyon JL, Sandler DP, Schoenberg JB, Steck DJ, Stolwijk JA, Weinberg C, Wilcox HB. A combined analysis of North American case-control studies of residential radon and lung cancer. J Toxicol Environm Health A 2006; 69: 533-597.
- 12. Bade BC, Cruz CSD. Lung cancer 2020: epidemiology, etiology, and prevention. Clin Chest Med 2020; 41: 1-24.
- Liu P, He K, Li Y, Wu Q, Yang P, Wang D. Exposure to mercury causes formation of male-specific structural deficits by inducing oxidative damage in nematodes. Ecotoxicol Environm Safety 2012; 79: 90-100.
- Sigel K, Wisnivesky J, Gordon K, Dubrow R, Justice A, Brown ST, Goulet J, Butt AA, Crystal S, Rimland D, Rodriguez-Barradas M, Gibert C, Park L, Crothers K. HIV as an independent risk factor for incident lung cancer. AIDS 2012; 26: 1017-1025.
- Tay MZ, Poh CM, Rénia L, MacAry PA, Ng LF. The trinity of COVID-19: immunity, inflammation and intervention. Nat Rev Immunol 2020; 20: 363-374.
- Caramori G, Adcock IM, Casolari P, Ito K, Jazrawi E, Tsaprouni L, Villetti G, Civelli M, Carnini C, Chung KF, Barnes PJ, Papi A. Unbalanced oxidant-induced DNA damage and repair in COPD: a link towards lung cancer. Thorax 2011; 66: 521-527.
- Conway EM, Pikor LA, Kung SH, Hamilton MJ, Lam S, Lam WL, Bennewith KL. Macrophages, inflammation, and lung cancer. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2016; 193: 116-130.
- 18. Takeuchi O, Akira S. Pattern recognition receptors and inflammation. Cell 2010; 140: 805-820.
- Javadirad E, Sadeghi M, Oltulu P, Sadafi S. Associations of IL-4, IL-4R, IL-17A, and IL-17F polymorphisms with colorectal cancer risk: a meta-analysis, metaregression, and trial sequential analysis. J Interferon Cytokine Res 2022; 42: 203-219.
- Tian G, Mi J, Wei X, Zhao D, Qiao L, Yang C, Li X, Zhang S, Li X, Wang B. Circulating interleukin-6 and cancer: a meta-analysis using Mendelian randomization. Sci Rep 2015; 5: 11394.
- Yu Z, Liu Q, Huang C, Wu M, Li G. The interleukin 10- 819C/T polymorphism and cancer risk: a HuGE review and meta-analysis of 73 studies including 15,942 cases and 22,336 controls. OMICS 2013; 17: 200-214.
- 22. Xu J, Yin Z, Cao S, Gao W, Liu L, Yin Y, Liu P, Shu Y. Systematic review and meta-analysis on the association between IL-1B polymorphisms and cancer risk. PLoS One 2013; 8: e63654.
- 23. Rose-John S. Interleukin-6 family cytokines. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Biol 2018; 10: a028415.
- 24. Mroczko B, Groblewska M, Gryko M, Kędra B, Szmitkowski M. Diagnostic usefulness of serum interleukin 6 (IL-6) and C-reactive protein (CRP) in the differentiation between pancreatic cancer and chronic pancreatitis. J Clin Labor Analysis 2010; 24: 256-261.
- 25. Panichi V, Maggiore U, Taccola D, Migliori M, Rizza GM, Consani C, Bertini A, Sposini S, Perez-Garcia R, Rindi P, Palla R, Tetta C. Interleukin-6 is a stronger predictor of total and cardiovascular mortality than C-reactive protein in haemodialysis patients. Nephrol Dialysis Transpl 2004; 19: 1154-1160.
- 26. Wang X, Li J, Liu W, Zhang X, Xue L. The diagnostic value of interleukin 6 as a biomarker for gastric cancer: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Medicine 2021; 100: e27945.

- Chen M, Hao X, Zhang Y, Lu B, Wu Y, Xu Y, Chen J, Yu W. The clinical significance of detection of Th1/Th2 cell cytokines in lung cancer. Chin J Lung Cancer 2004; 7: 214-217.
- Duan MC, Han W, Jin PW, Wei YP, Wei Q, Zhang LM, Li JC. Disturbed Th17/ Treg balance in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Inflammation 2015; 38: 2156-2165.
- Ma J, Zhu S, Liu Z, Mao Y, Li X, Dai L, Zhao X, Wei C, Liu J, Geng Y. Clinical value of cytokine assay in diagnosis and severity assessment of lung cancer. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2022; 2022: 4641600.
- Vuković J, Nikolić N, Karličić V, Stanojević I, Šupić G, Jović M, et al. High number of CD14+ B7H4+ monocytes is significantly associated with increased concentrations of IL-4, IL-13, IL-10, and TGF-b1 in tumor microcirculation of lung carcinoma. Vojnosanit Pregl 2021; 78: 1185-1192.
- Wang RP, Wang XH, Li ZM, Sun JR. Changes in serum inflammatory factors, adiponectin, intestinal flora and immunity in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2020; 24: 10566-10572.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 2009; 6: e1000097.
- 33. Najafi A, Mohammadi I, Sadeghi M, Brühl AB, Sadeghi-Bahmani D, Brand S. Evaluation of plasma/serum adiponectin (an anti-inflammatory factor) levels in adult patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Life 2022; 12: 738.
- 34. Golshah A, Imani MM, Sadeghi M, Karami Chalkhooshg M, Brühl AB, Sadeghi Bahmani L, Brand S. Effect of continuous positive airway pressure on changes of plasma/serum ghrelin and evaluation of these changes between adults with obstructive sleep apnea and controls: a meta-analysis. Life 2023; 13: 149.
- Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, Robertson J, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa 2011; 2: 1-12.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials revisited. Contem Clin Trials 2015; 45: 139-145.
- Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 1959; 22: 719-748.
- Galbraith R. Graphical display of estimates having differing standard errors. Technometrics 1988; 30: 271-281.
- Song F. Exploring heterogeneity in meta-analysis: is the L'Abbe plot useful? J Clin Epidemiol 1999; 52: 725-730.
- 40. L'Abbé KA, Detsky AS, O'Rourke K. Meta-analysis in clinical research. Ann Intern Med 1987; 107: 224-233.
- 41. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997; 315: 629-634.
- 42. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 1994: 1088-1101.
- Imberger G, Thorlund K, Gluud C, Wetterslev J. False-positive findings in Cochrane meta-analyses with and without application of trial sequential analysis: an empirical review. BMJ Open 2016; 6: e011890.
- Wetterslev J, Jakobsen JC, Gluud C. Trial sequential analysis in systematic reviews with meta-analysis. BMC Med Res Methodol 2017; 17: 39.
- 45. Pine SR, Mechanic LE, Enewold L, Chaturvedi AK, Katki HA, Zheng YL, Bowman ED, Engels EA, Caporaso NE, Harris CC. Increased levels of circulating interleukin 6, interleukin 8, C-reactive protein, and risk of lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Institute 2011; 103: 1112-1122.
- 46. Brichory FM, Misek DE, Yim AM, Krause MC, Giordano TJ, Beer DG, Hanash SM An immune response manifested by the common occurrence of annexins I and II autoantibodies and high circulating levels of IL-6 in lung cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001; 98: 9824-9.
- 47. Brussino L, Culla B, Bucca C, Giobbe R, Boita M, Isaia G, Heffler E, Oliaro A, Filosso P, Rolla G. Inflammatory cytokines and VEGF measured in exhaled breath condensate are correlated with tumor mass in non-small cell lung cancer. J Breath Res 2014; 8: 027110.
- Chen J, Li X, Huang C, Lin Y, Dai Q. Change of serum inflammatory cytokines levels in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pneumonia and lung cancer. Technol Cancer Res Treatment 2020; 19: 1533033820951807.
- De Vita F, Orditura M, Auriemma A, Infusino S, Roscigno A, Catalano G. Serum levels of interleukin-6 as a prognostic factor in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Oncol Rep 1998; 5: 649-652.
- 50. Deniz ÇD, Gürbilek M, Koç M. Prognostic value of interferon-gamma, interleukin-6, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha in the radiation response of patients diagnosed with locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer and glioblastoma multiforme. Turk J Med Sci 2018; 48: 117-123.

- Gaur P, Bhattacharya S, Kant S, Kushwaha R, Garg R, Singh G, Pandey S, Sharma S. Association of inflammatory biomarkers with lung cancer in North Indian population. African Health Sci 2019; 19: 2147-2155.
- 52. Guadagni F, Ferroni P, Basili S, Facciolo F, Carlini S, Crecco M, Martini F, Spila A, D'Alessandro R, Aloe S, Cerasoli V, Del Monte G, Mariotti S, Mineo TC, Roselli M. Correlation between tumor necrosis factor-alpha and D-dimer levels in non-small cell lung cancer patients. Lung Cancer 2004; 44: 303-310.
- 53. Hoheisel G, Izbicki G, Roth M, Chan CH, Reichenberger F, Schauer J, Perruchoud AP. Proinflammatory cytokine levels in patients with lung cancer and carcinomatous pleurisy. Respiration 1998; 65: 183-186.
- Huang F, Wang XL, Geng Y, Li MX. [Evaluation of IL-6 level in serum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)]. Chin J Cell Mol Immunol 2005; 21: 507-509.
- 55. Katsumata N, Eguchi K, Fukuda M, Yamamoto N, Ohe Y, Oshita F, Tamura T, Shinkai T, Saijo N. Serum levels of cytokines in patients with untreated primary lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 1996; 2: 553-559.
- 56. Kayacan O, Karnak D, Beder S, Güllü E, Tutkak H, Senler FC, Koksal D. Impact of TNF-alpha and IL-6 levels on development of cachexia in newly diagnosed NSCLC patients. Am J Clin Oncol 2006; 29: 328-335.
- 57. Liu M, Li Y, Liu X. Serum tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin-1β, interleukin-6, and interleukin-17 relate to anxiety and depression risks to some extent in non-small cell lung cancer survivor. Clin Respir J 2022; 16: 105-115.
- Liu WJ, Wang XD, Wu W, Huang X. Relationship between depression and blood cytokine levels in lung cancer patients. Med Sci 2018; 34 Focus issue F1: 113-115.
- Martín F, Santolaria F, Batista N, Milena A, González-Reimers E, Brito MJ, Ormas J. Cytokine levels (IL-6 and IFN-gamma), acute phase response and nutritional status as prognostic factors in lung cancer. Cytokin. 1999; 11: 80-86.
- Pan YW, Zhou ZG, Wang M, Dong JQ, Du KP, Li S, Liu YL, Lv PJ, Gao JB. Combination of IL-6, IL-10, and MCP-1 with traditional serum tumor markers in lung cancer diagnosis and prognosis. Genet Mol Res 2016; 15(4). doi: 10.4238/ gmr15048949.
- Shang GS, Liu L, Qin YW. IL-6 and TNF-α promote metastasis of lung cancer by inducing epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Oncol Letters 2017; 13: 4657-4660.
- 62. Silva EM, Mariano VS, Pastrez PRA, Pinto MC, Castro AG, Syrjanen KJ, Longatto-Filho A. High systemic IL-6 is associated with worse prognosis in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. PLoS One 2017; 12: e0181125.
- Song XY, Zhou SJ, Xiao N, Li YS, Zhen DZ, Su CY, Liu ZD. Research on the relationship between serum levels of inflammatory cytokines and non-small cell lung cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2013; 14: 4765-4768.
- 64. Tas F, Duranyildiz D, Argon A, Oğuz H, Camlica H, Yasasever V, Topuz E. Serum levels of leptin and proinflammatory cytokines in advanced-stage non-small cell lung cancer. Med Oncol 2005; 22: 353-358.
- 65. Yan X, Han L, Zhao R, Fatima S, Zhao L, Gao F. Prognosis value of IL-6, IL-8, and IL-1 β in serum of patients with lung cancer: a fresh look at interleukins as a biomarker. Heliyon 2022; 8: e09953.
- 66. Zhang M, Zhou YY, Zhang YL. High Expression of TLR2 in the serum of patients with tuberculosis and lung cancer, and can promote the progression of lung cancer. Math Biosci Eng 2019; 17: 1959-1972.
- 67. Du YJ, Zhang HY, Li B, Wu X, Lv YB, Jin Hl, Cao Y, Sun J, Luo Ql, Gong W, Liu B, Wu J, Shi S, Dong J. Sputum interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor-α and salivary cortisol as new biomarkers of depression in lung cancer patients. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 2013; 47: 69-76.
- Hirano T. IL-6 in inflammation, autoimmunity and cancer. Int Immunol 2021; 33: 127-148.
- Kuilman T, Michaloglou C, Vredeveld LC, Douma S, van Doorn R, Desmet CJ, Aarden LA, Mooi WJ, Peeper DS. Oncogene-induced senescence relayed by an interleukin-dependent inflammatory network. Cell 2008; 133: 1019-1031.
- Imani MM, Sadeghi M, Khazaie H, Emami M, Sadeghi Bahmani D, Brand S. Evaluation of serum and plasma interleukin-6 levels in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a meta-analysis and meta-regression. Front Immunol 2020; 11: 1343.
- Omrani H, Jasemi SV, Sadeghi M, Golmohamadi S. Evaluation of serum interleukin-6 levels in the renal transplant recipients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of case-control studies. Open Access Maced J Med Sci 2019; 7: 174-178.
- 72. Shakiba E, Ramezani M, Sadeghi M. Evaluation of serum interleukin-6 levels in hepatocellular carcinoma patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Exp Hepatol 2018; 4: 182-190.

- 73. Coomes EA, Haghbayan H. Interleukin-6 in Covid-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rev Med Virol 2020; 30: e2141.
- 74. Ramezani M, Nemati H, Najafi F, Sayad B, Sadeghi M. A systematic review and meta-analysis on blood levels of cytokines/chemokines in COVID-19 cases. Gulhane Med J 2022; 64: 208-216.
- 75. Yan G, Liu T, Yin L, Kang Z, Wang L. Levels of peripheral Th17 cells and serum Th17-related cytokines in patients with colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. Cell Mol Biol 2018; 64: 94-102.
- 76. Greten FR, Grivennikov SI. Inflammation and cancer: triggers, mechanisms, and consequences. Immunity 2019; 51: 27-41.
- 77. Grivennikov SI, Greten FR, Karin M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. Cell 2010; 140: 883-899.
- 78. Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, Balkwill F. Cancer-related inflammation. Nature 2008; 454: 436-444.
- 79. Trinchieri G. Cancer and inflammation: an old intuition with rapidly evolving new concepts. Ann Rev Immunol 2012; 30: 677-706.
- O'Callaghan DS, O'Donnell D, O'Connell F, O'Byrne KJ. The role of inflammation in the pathogenesis of non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2010; 5: 2024-2036.
- Almatroodi SA, McDonald CF, Darby IA, Pouniotis DS. Characterization of M1/ M2 tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs) and Th1/Th2 cytokine profiles in patients with NSCLC. Cancer Microenvironm 2016; 9: 1-11.